1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 3 4 JEFFREY SHERMAN, Case No. 2:23-cv-00664-JAD-EJY 5 Plaintiff, ORDER 6 v. 7 EVEL, INC., a Nevada corporation, THEVEGASPACKAGE.COM, INC., a 8 Nevada corporation, EVELYN D. LANGER, individually, and DOUGLAS M. 9 LITTLETON a/k/a DOUGLAS DOUGLAS. individually, 10 Defendants. 11 12 Pending before the Court is Plaintiff's Renewed Motion to Compel Discovery (the 13 "Motion"). ECF No. 42. The Motion was filed on April 26, 2024. Defendants' response was due 14 on May 10, 2024. No response was filed. 15 Plaintiff's Motion recounts the pertinent procedural history including, most specifically, that 16 the prior version of the Motion to Compel was denied without prejudice to allow the parties to meet 17 and confer. Despite this opportunity, Plaintiff contends Defendants continue to fail to fully respond 18 to Plaintiff's Requests for Production Nos. 1 and 7. In the absence of a response to Plaintiff's 19 contention, and in light of Local Rul 7-2(d) allowing the Court to treat the failure to oppose a motion 20 as consent by the non-moving party to grant the motion, 21 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff's Renewed Motion to Compel (ECF No. 42) is 22 GRANTED. 23 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendants must, no later than May 31, 2024, supplement 24 its responses to Plaintiff's Request to Produce Documents Nos. 1 and 7 by producing (while not 25 necessarily limited to) log-in and log-out data for Plaintiff, telemarketing scripts as described in 26 Plaintiff's Exhibit H, nightly payroll information, and emails showing how much Plaintiff would be 27 paid for each day he worked.

28

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that failure to produce responsive documents may result in an award of sanctions against Defendants. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that given Plaintiff's Motion to Compel is granted in full, and especially because Defendants chose not to respond to the Motion despite substantial opportunity to do so, the Court awards Plaintiff his reasonable attorney's fees and costs under Fed. R. Civ. P. 37(a)(5). IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff must submit a memorandum in support of the fees requested no later than May 24, 2024. The memorandum must provide the time spent by each person for whom a reimbursement of fees is sought. A description justifying the hourly rates sought must also be provided. Time must be recorded in tenths of hours and a description of work performed must be included. Reimbursement for the time spent in the meet and confer is not included in the award; however, time spent preparing the memorandum is included in the award. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendants' response to the memorandum is due no later than June 4, 2024. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the parties may, if they so choose, agree on a flat reimbursement amount in which case they **must** submit a stipulation regarding the same. Dated this 13th day of May, 2024. UNITED STATES MAGISPRATE JUDGE